Families usually reach out to an elder law attorney when a parent's health suddenly changes and the fear hits: "Are we going to lose the house to the nursing home?"

The short answer is that a properly structured and funded trust can protect a home from long term care costs, but many families are surprised to learn how easy it is to get this wrong. Sometimes a house "in a trust" is still completely exposed. Other times the house is safe, but other choices quietly create tax or family problems that surface years later.

This area sits at the intersection of estate planning and Medicaid rules. To make sound decisions, you need to understand not just what a trust is, but how Medicaid actually works, what the "5 year rule" really means, and when planning for the house crosses the line from smart protection into unnecessary complexity.

Below is how I walk clients through these questions at my conference room table.

How nursing homes actually get paid

A common misconception is that a nursing home can just "take your house." That is not how it works in the United States.

Nursing homes are businesses. They get paid in one of three ways: private pay from the resident, long term care insurance benefits, or government programs such as Medicaid. The nursing home does not have independent legal authority to seize your property. Instead, the risk to your home comes from two directions.

First, during your lifetime, Medicaid has strict financial eligibility rules. If you need Medicaid to pay for long term care in a nursing facility, you must fall within certain asset and income limits. In most states, your primary

residence is treated as a limited "non-countable" asset while you live there, but once you move permanently to a nursing home and no spouse or certain protected relatives remain in the house, pressure mounts to sell or otherwise deal with the property.

Second, after your death, the state can pursue "estate recovery." That means the Medicaid agency may make a claim against your probate estate to recover benefits it paid for your care. If your house passes through probate in your name alone, it is often the largest target for estate recovery.

So when people ask, "Can a nursing home take your house if it's in a trust?", what they really need to know is whether the house is exposed to Medicaid eligibility rules during life and to Medicaid estate recovery after death. The answer depends heavily on what kind of trust you used and how you used it.

Not all trusts protect a home: revocable vs irrevocable

I see a lot of confusion over what a "trust" actually is. Having any trust is not the same as having a protective trust.

A revocable living trust is the most common estate planning tool. ***Comprehensive Estate Planning Attorney Near Me*** If your house is in a standard revocable trust, you can change or cancel the trust, take the house out, refinance, sell it, or otherwise treat it as your own. Because you retain that control, Medicaid generally treats the house as if you still own it outright. For Medicaid eligibility and estate recovery purposes, a revocable trust in your own name usually does not protect the home.

An irrevocable trust is different. You give up some meaningful control and ownership incidents. If the trust is properly drafted for asset protection and Medicaid planning, your home inside that trust may no longer be considered yours for Medicaid eligibility, and it may be out of reach for estate recovery. That is the core reason people ask about putting a house in an irrevocable trust.

This leads directly into two key questions:

What is the 5 year rule for irrevocable trusts?

And, closely related, how to avoid the Medicaid 5 year lookback without making mistakes that cost more than they save?

The Medicaid 5 year lookback and the so-called "Medicaid loophole"

Medicaid is not blind to last-minute transfers. In most states, when you apply for long term care Medicaid, the agency reviews five years of your financial history. This is the famous Medicaid 5 year lookback. If you made gifts or transfers for less than fair market value during that period, including transfers to certain trusts, the agency can impose a penalty period during which Medicaid will not pay for your care.

There is a lot of dangerous talk online about the "Medicaid loophole," usually described as simply putting assets into a trust and instantly making them disappear from the system. That is not how this works. If you transfer your home into a Medicaid-focused irrevocable trust and you apply for Medicaid three years later, the agency will almost certainly treat that transfer as a gift. You may face a period of ineligibility that your family must privately fund.

The key is timing and design. If your house has been in a properly structured irrevocable trust for more than five years before you need long term care Medicaid, then:

You typically will not be penalized for that transfer at the time of application, because it falls outside the lookback window.

The home may be protected from estate recovery, because you no longer own it in your individual name at death.

This is why many attorneys urge clients to think about planning at least in their late 60s or early 70s, rather than waiting until a crisis in their 80s or 90s. If you wait too long, you simply run out of calendar.

It is also why people sometimes confuse the Medicaid 5 year lookback with what they have heard called the 7 year rule for trusts. In the United States, the "7 year rule" usually refers to the United Kingdom's inheritance tax rule on gifts, not to any U.S. Medicaid provision. For an American family concerned about nursing home costs, the number that matters is 5 years, not 7, unless your state has a special rule or you are dealing with international assets.

So can a nursing home ever take a house in a trust?

The honest answer is nuanced. A nursing home itself does not "take" the house, but yes, in some situations, a house in a trust is still reachable, either during life or after death.

Here are some situations where a home in trust might still be exposed:

- The house sits in a revocable living trust where you are the grantor, trustee, and primary beneficiary, and state law treats trust assets as available for your support. Medicaid may require that trust resources be used for your care, or the state may pursue estate recovery against trust assets at death.
- The trust is labeled "irrevocable," but you kept too much control, for example the power to revoke the trust with another person's consent, or an unlimited ability to direct distributions to yourself. Medicaid can "look through" this kind of trust and treat the house as your asset.
- The trust was created and funded within the 5 year lookback and you apply for Medicaid before the penalty period ends. The agency accordingly applies a transfer penalty that effectively forces private payment for a period of time.
- The drafting attorney did not tailor the trust to comply with your state's Medicaid rules, and the language unintentionally makes the asset countable or subject to recovery.
- Medicaid estate recovery in your state extends beyond the probate estate and reaches certain trust arrangements. In some states, even a trust that avoids probate may still be vulnerable to a form of recovery.

When clients ask, "Can a nursing home take your house if it's in a trust?", these are the scenarios I review with them. It is not the label "trust" that matters, but the actual legal powers and timing.

The downside of putting your house in an irrevocable trust

Families often hear success stories about saving a house with an irrevocable trust and assume it is always a good idea. That is not true.

What is the downside of putting your house in an irrevocable trust? Several trade-offs appear again and again:

You give up control. A properly protective trust usually cannot allow you to unilaterally take the house back. That lack of control is exactly what makes it harder for Medicaid or creditors to reach the house, but it also means you must be comfortable with the long view. If you change your mind about selling, downsizing, or relocating to another state, you now need the cooperation of your trustee and must work within the trust's terms.

You may lose flexibility in emergencies. If you ever need to access the equity of the home for your own care outside Medicaid, or to support a spouse who remains at home, the trust can complicate matters or make them

impossible.

There can be tax complications if the trust is not drafted carefully. For example, you may want your children to receive a full step-up in basis at your death for capital gains purposes, so they can sell the house without large taxes. An experienced estate planning attorney can typically preserve that tax benefit inside a well drafted irrevocable trust, but do-it-yourself forms or generic templates sometimes miss this.

Family tension can increase. If you name one child as trustee over the house that will eventually go to all children, siblings may distrust each other's decisions about repairs, rental, or sale. The structure you use to protect against the nursing home can quietly undermine family harmony.

For those reasons, you should be clear about your goals. What are the only three reasons you should have an irrevocable trust? In practice, I see three strong justifications: meaningful asset protection goals such as Medicaid planning or shielding from known creditors, tax planning in higher net worth families, and very specific legacy goals where you want to control how assets are used long after your death. If you do not fit into at least one of those categories, a simpler approach may serve you better.

Is it better to leave a house in a will or trust?

When we narrow the discussion from Medicaid to basic estate planning, clients often ask: Is it better to leave a house in a will or trust?

A traditional will leaves the house in your name until death, then sends it through probate. Probate can be smooth or painful depending on your state, the complexity of your assets, and whether family members get along. If the person received Medicaid benefits, the home in probate is an easy target for estate recovery.

A revocable living trust, when properly funded, holds the title to your house during your life. At your death, the successor trustee manages or distributes the property according to your written instructions, usually without court probate. In many states, that alone can avoid months of delay and several thousand dollars in costs, and it can make Medicaid estate recovery more complicated or Comprehensive Estate Planning Attorney Near Me in some cases unsuccessful, depending on state law.

For many middle class families, the best way to leave your house to your children involves a combination of tools: a revocable trust for probate avoidance and smooth administration, plus, if appropriate, an additional irrevocable trust layer for Medicaid and asset protection if the timing and circumstances justify it. The "best" solution depends on your age, health, state of residence, and family dynamics.

The house, beneficiary choices, and the most common inheritance mistake

The house is often only one part of the overall plan. Choices about beneficiaries and non-probate assets can quietly make or break everything.

What is the most common inheritance mistake I see? It is probably a tie between two patterns:

Relying on a simple will and ignoring how assets are actually titled and how beneficiary designations work. If your house passes under your will but your largest accounts pass by beneficiary designation directly to one child, your carefully balanced will can be meaningless.

Failing to consider human behavior. Leaving the house "equally to the children" without thinking about which child lives nearby, who can afford to buy out siblings, or who already resents the others is a recipe for conflict.

That connects to another frequent question: Who should I not name as a beneficiary? You should be cautious about naming:

Minor children directly, because they cannot legally manage the inheritance and a court may need to appoint a guardian.

Beneficiaries with serious creditor issues, addictions, or unstable marriages, without some protective structure like a trust.

Individuals who receive means-tested government benefits, such as SSI or Medicaid, without tailoring the plan, because a direct inheritance can disqualify them.

Sometimes the right answer is not to exclude the person, but to leave their share in a trust managed by someone you trust.

What should not be included in a will, and what belongs elsewhere

Another subtle problem arises when people try to pack everything into their will. Some things simply do not belong there.

What should not be included in a will? Personal wishes that are likely to change often, like funeral music selections or who should get everyday items of clothing, are better suited for a separate memorandum that you can update without legal formalities. Detailed medical instructions belong in advance directives and health care proxies, not in a will that no one reads until after you die. And non-probate assets such as retirement accounts, life insurance policies, and certain bank accounts that avoid probate are controlled primarily by beneficiary designations and account titling, not by your will.

That point about bank accounts often surprises people. Which bank accounts avoid probate? Joint accounts with rights of survivorship, pay-on-death (POD) accounts, and transfer-on-death (TOD) accounts typically pass automatically to the named co-owner or beneficiary and bypass the will entirely. That may be helpful, but it also means you must coordinate those designations with the rest of your plan, or you risk accidental disinheritance.

Gifting, taxes, and the 5 by 5 rule in estate planning

Once people start thinking about protecting the house, they naturally ask about money gifts. What is the best way to gift money to an adult child? And how much can you inherit from your parents without paying taxes?

From a U.S. Federal tax standpoint, most people will never pay federal estate tax under current law, because the exemption is very high, in the multi-million dollar range per person. Many states, however, have their own estate or inheritance taxes with much lower thresholds. Income tax is different. Beneficiaries generally do not pay income tax simply for receiving an inheritance, but they may owe tax on later income generated by that inheritance.

The best way to gift money to an adult child depends on your goals. Outright gifts are simple, but once the money leaves your hands, it is exposed to the child's creditors, divorces, and spending habits. Gifting through a trust can preserve some control and protection but requires drafting and administration. If you are worried about Medicaid, aggressive gifting late in life can create problems under the 5 year lookback and is rarely wise without advice.

The 5 by 5 rule in estate planning comes up mainly in the context of certain trusts, particularly those with "5 and 5" withdrawal powers. It refers to a beneficiary's power to withdraw the greater of 5 percent of the trust principal or 5,000 dollars each year without triggering some negative tax consequences for the person who created the trust. This is an internal design tool that attorneys use to navigate gift and estate tax rules for larger estates. For

most families focused on long term care and basic wealth transfer, the 5 by 5 rule is background architecture rather than a central concern, but it influences how some trusts are drafted.

The best way to leave your house to your children: practical considerations

When we discuss the best way to leave your house to your children, we have to move beyond legal mechanics and look at lived reality.

Ask yourself a few questions. Do your children actually want the house, or will it become a burden that they feel guilty about selling? Are the children on roughly equal financial footing, or will some siblings need to live in the home while others want their share in cash? Are you in a state with aggressive Medicaid estate recovery, high probate costs, or both?

In many families, the most practical solution is a revocable trust that holds the house, gives a clear blueprint for what happens at your death (for example, house to be sold within a certain time and proceeds divided), and names a capable trustee. If Medicaid risk is significant and you are early enough, an additional irrevocable trust layer might be appropriate. In others, a simple beneficiary deed or transfer-on-death deed that automatically moves the house to children at death, avoiding probate but not providing broader protection, may be enough.

What almost never works well is leaving the house jointly to multiple children without guidance about occupancy, maintenance, taxes, and sale timelines. That is how decades-old family homes end up neglected while siblings argue.

A brief word on attorney costs and “comprehensive” estate planning

Clients often hesitate to engage counsel because they are unsure how much it costs to have an estate planning attorney. Fees vary significantly by region and complexity, but for a middle class couple wanting a comprehensive estate planning package, I typically see ranges from around 1,500 to 4,000 dollars in many markets. That might include wills or a revocable trust, powers of attorney, health care documents, and basic beneficiary coordination. More complex planning, such as Medicaid-focused irrevocable trusts, tax planning for larger estates, or blended family arrangements, can run higher.

What is comprehensive estate planning in this context? It means more than a will. It integrates your house, retirement accounts, life insurance, bank accounts, potential long term care needs, family dynamics, and tax issues into a coherent strategy. It pays attention to both probate and non-probate transfers, to your lifetime incapacity planning, and to realistic scenarios for nursing home or assisted living care. It also includes a frank discussion of whether you truly need irrevocable structures, or whether your risks are low enough that simpler tools will do.

The cost question is fair. The better question is often whether the plan, once done, will actually function when stress arrives at 2 a.m. On a Tuesday, when an adult child is trying to admit a parent to rehab and the facility is asking for powers of attorney, financial details, and a plan for payment.

Frequent estate planning mistakes that jeopardize the house

When families come in after a crisis, we often spend much of our time trying to unwind avoidable errors. A few patterns recur so consistently that they are worth listing explicitly.

Here are common mistakes that put the house or the overall plan at risk:

- Transferring the house outright to children to “get it out of my name,” without considering the Medicaid 5 year lookback, children’s creditors, divorces, or the loss of property tax and capital gains advantages.
- Titling the house jointly with one child “for convenience,” effectively disinheriting the other children and inviting future disputes.
- Creating a trust from an online template that is labeled “irrevocable,” but that retains enough control to fail for Medicaid purposes and enough rigidity to cause headaches.
- Ignoring beneficiary designations and account titling, so that non-probate transfers quietly undo the equal distribution you thought your will or trust created.
- Waiting until after a major health event to seek advice, when the calendar has taken most of your best options off the table.

Good planning does not require perfection. It does require that you match your tools to your real risks and priorities.

Pulling it all together: practical next steps

If you remember only one principle from this discussion, let it be this: the fact that your house is “in a trust” tells me almost nothing about whether it is protected from nursing home costs.

The key factors are what kind of trust you used, what powers you kept, when you funded it, how it interacts with your state’s Medicaid and probate rules, and how it fits with the rest of your estate plan. Those details determine whether the house is exposed, partly shielded, or well protected.

If you are serious about protecting a home and setting up your children to succeed, a sensible sequence is to:

Have a candid conversation with an elder law or estate planning attorney in your state about your health, assets, and family situation. Be prepared to answer questions about income, savings, existing insurance, and your goals for the house.

Review your existing documents and titling. Look at your deed, beneficiary designations, and any trusts you have. Make sure they work together.

Discuss whether a Medicaid-oriented irrevocable trust makes sense for you, or whether the downsides outweigh the benefits. Some clients absolutely need that level of planning. Others are far better off with a simpler structure and, perhaps, long term care insurance or a realistic private pay plan.

Coordinate decisions about the house with decisions about cash gifts, support for adult children, and anticipated inheritances, so you are not solving one problem while silently creating another.

Revisit your plan periodically. Laws change. Family circumstances change. The plan that made sense at 68 may need refinement at 78.

Handled thoughtfully, your house can be both a place to live securely in the years ahead and a legacy for the next generation, without becoming a casualty of last-minute crisis or half-understood “loopholes.”

Parker Law Offices
28202 Cabot Rd 3rd Floor, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677
9493853130